A Reduction Algorithm

- A reduction algorithm extracts a value from an array.
- Examples: sum, min, max, average...

Let's assume data is in `int a[n];`

Sequential Reduction Algorithm

A sequential version $O(n)$

```c
x = action(a[0]);
for (int i=1; i<n; i++)
    x = action(a[i]);
// now x stores the result
```

Parallel Reduction Algorithm

A parallel version (soccer tournament) $O(\log_2 n)$
Parallel Reduction Algorithm

Problems:
- partial results need to be shared
- very low arithmetic intensity

Ideally:
- global synchronization

CUDA:
- decompose into multiple kernel invocations

Parallel Reduction Algorithm

Metrics of performance:
- a) GFLOPs
- b) bandwidth

as the arithmetic intensity is low, bandwidth is a better measure

Parallel Reduction Algorithm

• The operation will be performed per block
• Each block will load the data into shared memory
• All threads work on the block in parallel

Comparison

• 32 MB of data (2^{22} integers)
• Tesla C1060
• Data block size 128
• Peak bandwidth 102 GB/sec

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>version \ values</th>
<th>Speedup</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Bandwidth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reduction Algorithm v.1

//all elements are in the shared memory
unsigned int n=2<<21; // # of integers
size_t size = n*sizeof(int); // data size [B]
int maxThreads=128; // threads per block
int threads=(n<maxThreads)?n:maxThreads;
int blocks=n/threads; // # of blocks!!
dim3 dimBlock(threadCount, 1, 1);
dim3 dimGrid(blockCount, 1, 1);
int smSize=threads*sizeof(int); // shared mem
// mallocs should be here
// note - size of the data is the block size
PR<<<dimGrid, dimBlock, smSize>>>(d);

Reduction Algorithm v.1

__shared__ int sm[];

__device__ void PR(int *d) {
uint tid=threadIdx.x;
uint i=blockIdx.x*blockDim.x+threadIdx.x;
sm[tid]=d[i]; // copy to SM
for (int stride=1; stride<blockDim.x; stride*=2) {
    __syncthreads();
    if (t%(2*stride)==0) sm[t]+=sm[t+stride];
}
if (tid==0) d[blockIdx.x]=sm[0]; // copy back
// d[blockIdx.x] contains the sum of the block
}

Reduction Algorithm v.1

Post process:
• The GPU kernel calculates data per block
• Results will be located in the first block elements of the global memory
• We will sum them with the same kernel...

input data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reduction Algorithm v.1

```c
if (blocks>1) toDo=1+blocks/128;
    else toDo=0;
for (int i=0; i<toDo; i++){
    threads=
        (blocks<maxThreads)?blocks:maxThreads;
    blocks=blocks/threads;
    dim3 dimBlock(threads,1,1);
    dim3 dimGrid(blocks,1,1);
    PR<<<dimGrid,dimBlock,smemSize>>>(d);
}
cudamemcpy(hOut,d,s,cudamemcpyDeviceToHost);
//hOut[0] is the result
```

Comparison

- 32 MB of data (2^{22} integers)
- Tesla C1060
- Data block size 128
- Peak bandwidth 102 GB/sec

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Speedup</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Bandwidth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Version 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.800ms</td>
<td>4.28 GB/sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reduction Algorithm v.2

Problems:
- thread divergence due to interleaved branch decisions
- half of the threads does nothing!
- loop is expensive
- the % operator is slow

```
if ((tid%(2*stride))==0)
    sm[tid]+=sm[tid+stride];
```

```
int index=2*stride*tid;
if (index<blockDim.x)
    sm[index]+=sm[index+stride];
```
**Reduction Algorithm v.2**

- Single block parallel reduction

**Comparison**

- 32 MB of data ($2^{22}$ integers)
- Tesla C1060
- Data block size 128
- Peak bandwidth 102 GB/sec

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>version \ values</th>
<th>Speedup</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Bandwidth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Version 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.800ms</td>
<td>4.28 GB/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version 2</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>3.975ms</td>
<td>8.41 GB/sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Problems:**
- thread divergence
- half of the threads does nothing!
- the % operator is slow
- shared memory bank conflicts
- loop is expensive

**Reduction Algorithm v.3**

- replace the strided loop with a reversed one

```c
for (stride=1; stride<blockDim.x; stride *= 2){
    int ind=2*stride*tid;
    if (ind<blockDim.x) sm[ind]+=sm[ind+stride];
    __syncthreads();
}
```

```c
for (stride=blockDim.x/2; stride>0; stride>>=1){
    if (tid<stride) sm[tid]+=sm[tid+stride];
    __syncthreads();
}
```
Reduction Algorithm v.3

- Single block parallel reduction

Input data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Stride=2:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Stride=4:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>16</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Stride=8:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>27</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comparison

- 32 MB of data (222 integers)
- Tesla C1060
- Data block size 128
- Peak bandwidth 102 GB/sec

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>version</th>
<th>values</th>
<th>Speedup</th>
<th>Time (ms)</th>
<th>Bandwidth (GB/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Version 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.800</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version 2</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>3.975</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version 3</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>2.650</td>
<td>12.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reduction Algorithm v.3

Problems:
- thread divergence
- half of the threads does nothing!
- the % operator is slow
- sm bank conflicts
- loop is expensive

Reduction Algorithm v.4

Let's make busy all threads in the first step

1) use only the half the blocks and

2) do the first reduction during the load from GM (replace single load with two loads)
Reduction Algorithm v.4

```c
uint tid = threadIdx.x;
uint i = blockIdx.x*(blockDim.x*2)+threadIdx.x;
sm[tid] = d[i]+d[i+blockDim.x];
__syncthreads();
for (stride=blockDim.x/2; stride>0; stride>>=1){
    if (tid<stride) sm[tid]+=sm[tid+stride];
    __syncthreads();
}
// write result for this block to global mem
if (tid == 0) d[blockIdx.x]=sm[0];
```

Comparison

- 32 MB of data (2^{22} integers)
- Tesla C1060
- Data block size 128
- Peak bandwidth 102 GB/sec

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version \ Values</th>
<th>Speedup</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Bandwidth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Version 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.800 ms</td>
<td>4.28 GB/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version 2</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>3.975 ms</td>
<td>8.41 GB/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version 3</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>2.650 ms</td>
<td>12.43 GB/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version 4</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>1.405 ms</td>
<td>23.90 GB/sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reduction Algorithm v.4

- Problems
- It has low arithmetic intensity, so the bandwidth should be better
- But 23.9 GB/sec is about 24% of peak performance

Reduction Algorithm v.5

- Let’s unroll the loops!
- Number of active threads decreases with the number of iterations
- for stride<=32 we have only one warp
- warp runs the same instruction (SIMD)
- for stride<=32 __syncthreads() is not necessary
- Let’s unroll last 6 iterations
Reduction Algorithm v.5

```c
uint tid=threadIdx.x;
uint i=blockIdx.x*(blockDim.x*2)+threadIdx.x;
sm[tid] = d[i]+d[i+blockDim.x];
__syncthreads();
for (stride=blockDim.x/2;stride>32;stride>>=1)
{
    if (tid<stride) sm[tid]+=sm[tid+stride];
    __syncthreads();
}
// write result for this block to global mem
if (tid == 0) d[blockIdx.x]=sm[0];
```

Comparison

- 32 MB of data ($2^{22}$ integers)
- Tesla C1060
- Data block size 128
- Peak bandwidth 102 GB/sec

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Speedup</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Bandwidth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Version 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.800 ms</td>
<td>4.28 GB/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version 2</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>3.975 ms</td>
<td>8.41 GB/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version 3</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>2.650 ms</td>
<td>12.43 GB/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version 4</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>1.405 ms</td>
<td>23.90 GB/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version 5</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>1.325</td>
<td>24.33 GB/sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional measurements

- 128 MB of data ($2^{24}$ integers)
- Tesla C1060
- Data block size 512
- Bandwidth 50 GB/sec
- That is 50% of peak bandwidth 102 GB/sec
Conclusions

- Optimizations lead to a great speedup
- Algorithmic optimizations (addressing, cascading, etc) ~ 3x speedup
- Loop unrolling another 2x speedup
- First optimize, then unroll the loops!
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